

FMP Entity Name: Deep Research Fund SICAV Legal Entity Identifier: 529900FMLH805R7V7J48 Date: 30.06.2023

DEEP RESEARCH FUND - REGULATORY DISCLOSURE

Principal Adverse Sustainability Impacts Statement

Summary

Deep Research Fund SICAV considers principal adverse impacts (PAI) of its investment decisions on sustainability factors as part of its investment due diligence process and procedures. Principal adverse impacts should be understood as those impacts of investment decisions that result in most significant negative effects on sustainability factors. Principal adverse sustainability impacts are identified and prioritized using both quantitative and qualitative measures.

This disclosure is applicable to the Deep Research Fund SICAV. It applies as of 30.06.2023 and covers the first reference period of 1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022, and it will be reviewed at least annually. In this statement we provide more information on our overall approach to identifying, prioritizing, and addressing principal adverse impacts of our investment decisions on various sustainability factors. The statement consists of the following four sections:

A. Description of principal adverse sustainability impacts

- B. Description of policies to identify and prioritize principal adverse sustainability impacts
- C. Engagement policies
- D. References to international standards

A. Description of principal adverse sustainability impacts

Nearly all types of economic activity have the potential to impact various sustainability indicators, both positively and adversely. Principle adverse impact indicators are a way of measuring how investments negatively impact sustainability factors.

We use a combination of methods to mitigate principal adverse impacts. The key methods are exclusions, assessment, voting, and engagement. Which methods, or a combination thereof, we apply depends on the nature of the impact as well as on its context. For example, in the quantitative stage of our research called Screening, we simply exclude industries and sectors that we view as causing significant adverse impacts.

For more details, please consult our **Exclusion Insight**

In the Pre-check and In-depth stages of research, we assess if our potential investment could have material adverse impact on its natural and societal environments. Engagement is used during the investment due diligence to raise important topics with the company as well as once invested in order to highlight any potential material impacts identified. Voting is applied once invested in order to express our opinions on concrete matters.

For more details, please consult our Sustainability Integration Insight and our Voting Insight

As part of the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR), the European Supervisory Authorities identified a list of principal adverse impact indicators that Financial Market Participants need to report on annually. We first published this reporting in June 2023. At the time, considerable data gaps existed on the market and it is our hope that issuers will provide more reliable data going forward. For now, please find below the list of PAI as identified by the European Supervisory Authorities and the relevant values for the portfolio holdings of the Deep Research Fund SICAV.

Summary of Principal Adverse Indicators prioritized by Deep Research Fund (Date 30 June 2023)

Climate and other environment-related indicators

ESA Table	PAI Indicator	Impact (year 2022)	Explanation	Actions taken	Method
1	GHG Emisssions	1'315 MT CO2 Eq Scope 1 GHG Emissions		monthly monitoring	Assessment, Engagement, Voting
		5'511 MT Co2 Eq Scope 2 GHG Emissions		monthly monitoring	Assessment, Engagement, Voting
		6'816 MT Co2 Eq Total GHG Emissiosn	Total GHG is weighted by our share of ownership of the companies. Since we are usually a small shareholder, we are re- sponsible for a small amount of investment's total emissions	monthly monitoring	Assessment, Engagement, Voting
1	Carbon Footprint	21.7 MT Co2 Eq / m EUR invested capital	Our carbon footprint tends to be lower than the global economy because many of our investments are into asset light companies that do not produce a lot of carbon.	monthly monitoring	Assessment, Engagement, Voting
1	GHG intensity of investee companies	41.6 MT Co2 Eq / m EUR revenue	See carbon footprint	monthly monitoring	Assessment, Engagement, Voting
1	Exposure to companies active in the fossile fuel sector	0% of investment in companies active in fossile fuel sector	We do not invest in companies active in fossile fuel sector	Validation of exclusion at every step of research	Exclusion
1	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production	49% of energy consumed by portfo- lio companies was non-renew- able	16 out of 21 companies reported on their share of non- renewable energy consumption. On average, 49% of the energy consumed by those companies was nonrenewable. None of our portfolio companies is engaged in energy production for sale.	Continuous monitoring	Engagement, Voting
1	Energy consumption per high impact climate sector	56 MWh / m EUR revenue is the average energy consump- tion of investee companies, per high impact climate sector	Our portfolio companies are engaged in the following high impact climate sectors: manufacturing & retail. - Average energy consumption in manufacturing is 61 MWh/m EUR revenue. - Average energy consump- tionin retail is 43 MWh/m EUR revenue	Continuous monitoring	Engagement, Voting
1	Acitivities negatively affecting biodiversity	NA	Our portfolio companies do not disclose this data.	Continuous monitoring of our portfolio has not revealed business activities in biosensitive areas. We will encourage more disclosure from our portfolio companies	Engagement, Voting
1	Emissions to water	NA	Our portfolio companies do not disclose this data.	Continuous monitoring of our portfolio has not revealed issues in this subject area. We will encourage more disclosure from our portfolio companies	Assessment, Engagement, Voting

ESA Table	PAI Indicator	Impact (year 2022)	Explanation	Actions taken	Method
1	Hazardous waste ratio	0.03 MT / m EUR invested is the weighted average of hazardous & radioactive waste generated by portfolio companies	Only 11 out of 21 of our port- folio companies report on this theme. Our portfolio is a mixture of manufacturing companies where hazardous waste can be expected and asset light compa- nies with no hazardous waste.	We will encourage more disclosure from our portfolio companies where this issue is material.	Assessment, Engagement, Voting
2	Investments in companies with- out water management policies	29% of portfolio companies do not have a water management policy	6 out of 21 portfolio companies, meaning 29%, do not have a water policy. In all 6 cases, the company business model does not rely on heavy water usage and most of these companies are asset light.	This is an important topic for us. We monitor it continuously and it is a likely theme of engage- ment in the future	Assessment, Engagement, Voting

Social and Employee, Respect for Human Rights, Anti-Corruption and Anti-Bribery

ESA Table	PAI Indicator	Impact (year 2022)	Explanation	Actions taken	Method
1	Violations of UN Global Compact Principles and OECD Guideliens for Multinational Enterprises	0% of investee companies have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	None of our portfolio compa- nies is associated with a violation of UNGC or OECD Guidelines	Continuous monitoring	Assessment, Engagement, Voting
1	Lack of processes and compli- ance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	0% of investee companies do not have policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprisesor grievance /complaints handling mechanisms to address viola- tions of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multina- tion Enterprises	This data point is sourced externally from Bloomberg. According to their analysts, our portfolio companies are on average 65% compliant with UNGC. No company in our port- folio would have no processes or compliance mechanisms. In this case we completely rely on external data because it is not possible to asses this for our team internally.	Continous monitoring	Assessment, Engagement, Voting
1	Unadjusted gender pay gap	8% is the average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies	Only 8 out of 21 portfolio com- panies report on this topic. The average pay gap between men and women in those 8 compa- nies is 8.13% (in favor of men). This difference is fairly low, and driven by investments located in the UK where this reporting is mandatory.	Continuous monitoring and data gathering	Assessment, Engagement, Voting
1	Board gender diversity	35% is the average percentage of women on Board of Directors in investee companies	Our portfolio companies have on average 35% share of women on the Board of Directors. While this remains still far from parity, it is also above global average. The reason for that is that we skew our research towards com- panies with higher diversity.	Continous monitoring and voting	Assessment, Engagement, Voting
1	Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munition, chemical weap- ons, and biological weapons)	0% of investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons	We do not invest in companies with exposure to controversial weapons	Biannual screening	Exclusion
3	Lack of human rights policy	0% of investee companies are with- out a human rights policy	All our portfolio companies have a human rights policy	Biannual screening	Assessment, Engagement, Voting

Units used: Eq= equivalent, M = metric, m = millions, T = tonnes

B. Description of policies to identify and prioritize principal adverse sustainability impacts

Deep Research Fund has developed and implemented policies to identify, prioritize, and address principal adverse impacts. Brief summaries of these policies are provided in this section.

Exclusion policy

As a minimum standard, Deep Research Fund identifies and mitigates principal adverse impacts through the implementation of our Exclusion Policy. The exclusion policy covers norms-based, product-based, and activity-based exclusions with a high occurrence of adverse impact, such as controversial weapons. It also outlines how to deal with companies that breach the UN Guiding Principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. These breaches may differ in severity and may be irremediable in character. If the latter is the case, companies will be directly excluded from the investment universe.

For more details, please consult our **Exclusion policy**

Integration policy

Through either fundamental analysis or quantitative research, additional principal adverse impacts can be identified. Sector-specific materiality frameworks and company data are combined into an evaluation of a company's sustainability performance on many aspects, including principle adverse impacts.

For more details, please consult our Integration policy

Voting policy

We view ourselves as the owners of the companies in which we hold stocks. This compels us to exercise our voting rights actively. In principle, we vote for our values: diversity, skill, and long-term strategy. When a share-holder presents a proposal that aligns with our values, we support it. This may compel us to sometimes vote against the company's recommendations. In those cases, we highlight our vote and our thinking to the company directly through engagement.

For more details, please consult our Voting policy

In general, engagement is an important tool for us to explain our thinking about adverse impacts to our portfolio holdings.

C. Engagement policies

Thorough engagement with (potential) investment is key part of our research approach. If any principle adverse impacts are identified during the due diligence stage, we would highlight it to the company and only proceed with the research if sufficient remedy of PAI is planned by the company and the timeline is within the near future. If PAI are identified after we are already invested, we initiate a dialogue with the company and highlight the need for remedy. If we do not see an improvement within the discussed time horizon, the matter is discussed at the Investment Committee which will likely recommend divestment.

Our voting policy is also part of our engagement strategy. We seek to vote at all Annual General Meetings as per our voting policy.

D. References to international standards

Our application of PAI builds on the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDG's) and relevant international conventions and norms, including, but not limited to:

- United Nations Global Compact
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- Children's Rights and Business Principles
- ILO conventions on labour standards
- UN Convention on Corruption
- Convention on Cluster Munitions
- Paris Agreement under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

In relation to the alignment with the Paris Agreement, our application of PAI includes a requirement for investee companies that are active in the most climate-critical sectors to demonstrate a credible transition strategy that is compatible with the Paris Agreement's climate objectives. This includes assessments of their decarbonization pathways as well as their positive contributions to climate mitigation. As the methodologies for assessing this progressively reach greater maturity for a greater number of sectors, the number of companies subject to this requirement will grow.

Anrepa Asset Management AG

Neuhofstrasse 12, 6340 Baar (Zug)

Disclaimer: All opinions and estimates expressed in this report constitute our judgment as of publication and do not constitute general or specific investment legal, tax or accounting advice or an offer of any kind. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance. You should always seek professional advice before you make an investment decision.

Copyright © 2023 ANREPA Asset Management AG. All rights reserved.